



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

[JPFA] Revisi Hasil Telaah Awal

2 messages

Utama Alan Deta <adminsiaad@unesa.ac.id>

19 September 2019 at 17:03

To: Miss Emi Kurnia Sari <kurniasariemi@gmail.com>

Cc: Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>, Dyah Silviana Sari <dyah.silviana2015@student.uny.ac.id>, Haris Suhendar <haris.suhendar@gmail.com>, Iman Santoso <iman.santoso@ugm.ac.id>

Ysh Bapak/Ibu Emi Kurnia Sari

Berikut hasil revisi telaah awal oleh editor JPFA. Mohon merevisi artikel paling lambat 3 Oktober 2019 dan dikirimkan melalui email jpfa@unesa.ac.id. Terima kasih.

Email ini DIBUAT SECARA OTOMATIS melalui Open Journal System. Dimohon agar TIDAK MEMBALAS ke email ini. Jika terdapat pertanyaan atau permasalahan, dimohon dapat mengirimkan email ke jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

This email MADE AUTOMATICALLY through the Open Journal System. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email. If you have any questions, please send an email to jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
Department of Physics
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia
Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id
Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

 **5551 Form Telaah Awal Editor.docx**
309K

- **Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc** <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

19 September 2019 at 16:15

To: kurniasariemi@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

 **5551 Form Telaah Awal Editor.docx**
309K



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Revisi Manuscript (Brams Dwandaru, UNY)

2 messages

- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

1 October 2019 at 16:07

To: jpfa@unesa.ac.id, adminsiakad@unesa.ac.id, kurniasariemi@gmail.com, "- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc" <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from *Kangkung* Plant (*Ipomoea aquatica*) with Deep Frying and Roasting Techniques

Kepada Yth.:

Editorial Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)

Dengan hormat,

Kami menyampaikan terima kasih atas koreksi, saran, dan masukan yang diberikan untuk manuscript kami. Artikel telah kami revisi dan telah kami lampirkan pada email ini (dengan nama file revisi 1 jpfa dwandaru.doc). Selain itu telah kami lampirkan pula respon letter (dengan nama file respon letter jpfa dwandaru.doc) dari masukan-masukan yang telah diberikan.

Demikian, atas perhatiannya kami mengucapkan terima kasih. Demoga artikel kami dapat diproses lebih lanjut.

Hormat kami,

Wipar Sunu Brams Dwandaru, Ph.D

Jurdik Fisika, FMIPA UNY

2 attachments



revisi 1 jpfa dwandaru.docx
838K



respon letter jpfa dwandaru.docx
16K

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id>

11 October 2019 at 00:03

To: "- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc" <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Ysh Bapak Sunu Brams Dwandaru

Naskah artikel dan respon letter telah kami terima. Terimakasih.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)

Department of Physics

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia

Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.idWebsite: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Untuk mendukung "Gerakan UNY Hijau", disarankan tidak mencetak email ini dan lampirannya.
(To support the "Green UNY movement", it is recommended not to print the contents of this email and its attachments)

Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
www.uny.ac.id



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

[JPFA] Editor Decision

1 message

Utama Alan Deta <adminsiaad@unesa.ac.id>

21 November 2019 at 21:46

To: Miss Emi Kurnia Sari <kurniasariemi@gmail.com>

Cc: Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>, Dyah Silviana Sari <dyah.silviyana2015@student.uny.ac.id>, Haris Suhendar <haris.suhendar@gmail.com>, Iman Santoso <iman.santoso@ugm.ac.id>

=====
Email ini khusus untuk broadcast, dimohon tidak membalas email ini.
This is a broadcast email, do not reply to this email.
=====

Dear Miss Emi Kurnia Sari,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA), "Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from Kangkung Plant (*Ipomoea Aquatica*) with Deep Frying and Roasted Techniques".

Our decision is: Revisions Required (revisi minor dan tidak perlu ditelaah ulang)
Deadline for submission of revised manuscript: 2 weeks (Kamis, 5 Desember 2019)

Author(s) should read "Manuscript Quality Standard in JPFA" to understand the Quality Standard of JPFA's Manuscript. When sending the revised manuscript, please summarize the Reviewers' and Editors' suggestion and respond to the results of the review on "Author Response Form". In addition, the revision of the manuscript must be formatted with a unique color block (yellow) for distinguishing from the original version.

Lastly, please fill and send us the softcopy and the hardcopy of "Statement of Manuscript Authenticity" and "Statement of Open Access" signed by all Authors (form attached) via email and post if you have not sent the file to us.

If you have any questions, please contact me via JPFA's email. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Kepada Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i Miss Emi Kurnia Sari,

Kami telah memiliki keputusan publikasi terkait dengan naskah Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i pada JPFA dengan judul "Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from Kangkung Plant (*Ipomoea Aquatica*) with Deep Frying and Roasted Techniques".

Keputusan publikasi: naskah memerlukan revisi
Deadline pengiriman revisi: perhatikan email bagian atas

Mohon Bapak/Ibu Penulis dapat memperhatikan Manuscript Quality Standard in JPFA (terlampir). Ketika melakukan revisi artikel, kami mohon Bapak/Ibu dapat melakukan rekap hasil telaah Mitra Bestari kami dan memberikan tanggapan terhadap hasil telaah pada "Author Response Form". Selain itu, kami mohon agar revisi pada naskah dapat diberikan blok warna khusus yakni kuning untuk membedakan dari naskah asli sebelumnya.

Selain itu, mohon Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i mengisi dan mengirimkan kepada kami softcopy dan hardcopy dari "Statement of Manuscript Authenticity" dan "Statement of Open Access" yang ditandatangani oleh semua penulis (format terlampir) melalui email dan pos jika Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i belum mengirimkan berkas tersebut ketika mengirimkan naskah.

Jika anda memiliki pertanyaan, silahkan menghubungi kami melalui email jurnal. Terima kasih telah mempertimbangkan jurnal kami sebagai wadah publikasi dari penelitian Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i.

Manuscript Quality Standard in JPFA:

<https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa/pages/view/panduanmenulis>

Petunjuk Melakukan Revisi (Tanpa Proses Telaah Ulang) di OJS:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFR29YbU9iLUZOa3M>

Statement of Manuscript Authenticity:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFQIVHa2NYWE1fc1E/view>

Statement of Open Access:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFOUY2clpU0IRLW8/view>

Copyright Permission Request Form:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFVkkSSXRPcW9wTFE>

Manuscript Template:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFVIJVNUc3dEZpbWs>

Author Response Form:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1BvylhLpdLdw6-3x74UiW7lpFLec7fF-l/view?usp=sharing>

Utama Alan Deta

(Scopus ID: 55872927700) Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Phone +62 899 3751 753

utamadeta@unesa.ac.id

Reviewer A:

MANUSCRIPT'S TYPE (MUST BE COMPLETED):

Research Article

..... PART A

A. GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

:

1. Scientific Impact

Considering its benefits or significance for the development of science and technology and/or increased in competitiveness.:

Good

2. Originality

Considering its state of the art or literature review.:

Adequate

3. Novelty

Considering the novelty of the findings.:

Adequate

4. Accuracy and Correctness

Considering the Methodology and Results and Discussion.:

Adequate

5. Clarity of Expression

Considering its grammar and typography.:

Marginally adequate

..... PART B

B. SECTION EVALUATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

:

6. Title

Title reflects the contents of the writing, be specific, effective, straightforward, and informative.:

Adequate

7. Abstract

Abstract and keywords reflect the contents of the writing. It should clearly state the background problem, the research objectives, the brief method, the main results/findings, and the conclusions, and should not include citations, tables, figures, and formulas.:

Good

8. Introduction

Introduction shows clarity in defining the research problem, the relationship with previous or existing research, and the theories used. It explains state of the art of the research, gap analysis, and research objectives/questions.:

Good

9. Method

Research method demonstrates the suitability of the research method, literature review, and data analyzes. The methodology employed is the best and most suitable to work with the addressed problems and objectives of the writing. It should be written in full and detail so that it can be repeated by others (reproducible).:

Adequate

10. Ethics of Writing Optional

The manuscript adheres the research ethics as well as the principles in the care and use of laboratory, animal, and/or human subjects, including ethics when using personal information or images from patients, research subjects, and/or other individuals.:

Good

11. Conclusion

The conclusion is in line with the objectives and the methodology used. A good conclusion can lead the reader to get important things in a depth and broad view. It must be able to answer the research objectives/questions and should not repeat the abstract or simply rewrite the experimental results.:

Adequate

12. Results and Discussion

Shows clarity and comprehensive methods, results, discussion, data analysis, and synthesis. Results should summarize or highlight the findings rather than providing the detailed research results. Discussion must answers the problems, interprets the research results and the findings into the already known knowledge, confirms and/or contrasts with the research of other researchers, constructs the new theory, and/or modifies the previous theory. It must also contain the implications of both theoretical and implementation results.

:

12.a. Results and Discussion

The writing reflects the authors' earnest and answers the problem completely.:

Less deep and less comprehensive

12.b. Results and Discussion

Error in analysis:

Suspected of having minor analysis errors but did not have a significant impact on conclusions.

13. References:

13.a. The comparison of primary sources with other reference sources

Primary sources are scientific magazines, journals, and proceedings.:

Good (>80% from primary reference sources)

13.b. The comparison of updated references with other reference sources

Considering the year of publication of the references used (in 10 years at most).:

Updated (>80% from current references)

14. Figures, Graphs, Diagrams, Tables, Equations, etc. (if any)

The presentation of pictures, graphs, diagrams and/or tables.:

Informative and complementary

..... PART C

C. SUGESSTION OF EACH SECTION IN THE MANUSCRIPT (OPTIONAL)

When you give a poor/inadequate or adequate score in part B, you should give some advice in this section to improve the quality of the manuscript. You may use additional paper if needed. You can also provide suggestions directly on the manuscript.

:

1. Suggestions or improvements to the TITLE:

Are you sure carbon nanodot?

2. Suggestions or improvements to the ABSTRACT AND KEYWORDS:

3. Suggestions or improvements to the INTRODUCTION:

4. Suggestions or improvements to the RESEARCH METHOD:

In the characterizations part, at what temperature is the measurement made?

5. Suggestions or improvements to the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

1. Can author show us how to proof that you obtained is Carbon Nanodot? I suggest that the author use TEM image to prove that carbon nanodot has been obtained.

2. Based on the title of the article which is a comparison of carbon nanodots preparation techniques, the author should be explained why the two techniques give different results, for example:

a. In Results and Discussion part, the author sated "The Cdots via frying technique has a longer wavelength at the absorbance peak compare to the Cdots via roasting technique." Why?

b. In Results and Discussion part, the author sated "The frying and roasting techniques produce different colour emissions." Why?

c. In Results and Discussion part "the roasting technique produce higher transmittance of C=C bonds compared to the frying technique." Why?

6. Suggestions or improvements to the CONCLUSION:

Conclusion should be improved based on Results and Discussion part

7. Suggestions or improvements to the REFERENCES:

8. Suggestions or improvements to the FIGURES, GRAPHS, DIAGRAMS, TABLES, EQUATIONS, ETC:

..... PART D

D. GENERAL SUGGESTION FOR THE MANUSCRIPT (OPTIONAL)

:
The English language in the manuscript needs to be improved and also a lot of other typo grammar, and spelling problems are present in the manuscript

..... PART E

E. STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

:
Strengths::
The authors reported their results concerning Carbon nanodot that is one of the important phenomena for various application.

Weaknesses::
However, many points in this paper are difficult to understand and should be improve.

..... PART F

F. NOTES/COMMENTS ON THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

(if available, please attach the notes):
Not Available

Reviewer B:

MANUSCRIPT'S TYPE (MUST BE COMPLETED):
Research Article

..... PART A

A. GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

- :

1. Scientific Impact
Considering its benefits or significance for the development of science and technology and/or increased in competitiveness.:
Good

2. Originality
Considering its state of the art or literature review.:
Good

3. Novelty
Considering the novelty of the findings.:
Good

4. Accuracy and Correctness
Considering the Methodology and Results and Discussion.:
Adequate

5. Clarity of Expression
Considering its grammar and typography.:
Adequate

..... PART B

B. SECTION EVALUATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

:

6. Title

Title reflects the contents of the writing, be specific, effective, straightforward, and informative.:

Adequate

7. Abstract

Abstract and keywords reflect the contents of the writing. It should clearly state the background problem, the research objectives, the brief method, the main results/findings, and the conclusions, and should not include citations, tables, figures, and formulas.:

Adequate

8. Introduction

Introduction shows clarity in defining the research problem, the relationship with previous or existing research, and the theories used. It explains state of the art of the research, gap analysis, and research objectives/questions.:

Adequate

9. Method

Research method demonstrates the suitability of the research method, literature review, and data analyzes. The methodology employed is the best and most suitable to work with the addressed problems and objectives of the writing. It should be written in full and detail so that it can be repeated by others (reproducible).:

Adequate

10. Ethics of Writing Optional

The manuscript adheres the research ethics as well as the principles in the care and use of laboratory, animal, and/or human subjects, including ethics when using personal information or images from patients, research subjects, and/or other individuals.:

Adequate

11. Conclusion

The conclusion is in line with the objectives and the methodology used. A good conclusion can lead the reader to get important things in a depth and broad view. It must be able to answer the research objectives/questions and should not repeat the abstract or simply rewrite the experimental results.:

Adequate

12. Results and Discussion

Shows clarity and comprehensive methods, results, discussion, data analysis, and synthesis. Results should summarize or highlight the findings rather than providing the detailed research results. Discussion must answers the problems, interprets the research results and the findings into the already known knowledge, confirms and/or contrasts with the research of other researchers, constructs the new theory, and/or modifies the previous theory. It must also contain the implications of both theoretical and implementation results.

:

12.a. Results and Discussion

The writing reflects the authors' earnest and answers the problem completely.:

Less deep but comprehensive

12.b. Results and Discussion

Error in analysis:

Suspected of having minor analysis errors but did not have a significant impact on conclusions.

13. References:

13.a. The comparison of primary sources with other reference sources

Primary sources are scientific magazines, journals, and proceedings.:
Good (>80% from primary reference sources)

13.b. The comparison of updated references with other reference sources
Considering the year of publication of the references used (in 10 years at most):

Quite updated (40% - 80% from current references)

14. Figures, Graphs, Diagrams, Tables, Equations, etc. (if any)

The presentation of pictures, graphs, diagrams and/or tables.:
Not informative but complementary

..... PART C

C. SUGESSTION OF EACH SECTION IN THE MANUSCRIPT (OPTIONAL)

When you give a poor/inadequate or adequate score in part B, you should give some advice in this section to improve the quality of the manuscript. You may use additional paper if needed. You can also provide suggestions directly on the manuscript.

- :
 1. Suggestions or improvements to the TITLE:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 2. Suggestions or improvements to the ABSTRACT AND KEYWORDS:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 3. Suggestions or improvements to the INTRODUCTION:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 4. Suggestions or improvements to the RESEARCH METHOD:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 5. Suggestions or improvements to the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 6. Suggestions or improvements to the CONCLUSION:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 7. Suggestions or improvements to the REFERENCES:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript
 8. Suggestions or improvements to the FIGURES, GRAPHS, DIAGRAMS, TABLES, EQUATIONS, ETC:
Please see the detailed comment in the reviewed manuscript

..... PART D

D. GENERAL SUGGESTION FOR THE MANUSCRIPT (OPTIONAL)

:
Many grammatical and spelling errors are found in the manuscript

..... PART E

E. STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

:
Strengths::

New method in preparing the samples

Weaknesses::

It is weak to claim that the Carbon Nanodots were successfully prepared

..... PART F

:

F. NOTES/COMMENTS ON THE MANUSCRIPT (MUST BE COMPLETED)

(if available, please attach the notes):

Available

.

Email ini DIBUAT SECARA OTOMATIS melalui Open Journal System. Dimohon agar TIDAK MEMBALAS ke email ini. Jika terdapat pertanyaan atau permasalahan, dimohon dapat mengirimkan email ke jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

This email MADE AUTOMATICALLY through the Open Journal System. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email. If you have any questions, please send an email to jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
Department of Physics
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia
Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id
Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

2 attachments**Hasil Review 5551.docx**

16K

**5551-18266-1-RV.pdf**

1530K



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

[JPFA] Editor Decision

1 message

Utama Alan Deta <adminsiaakad@unesa.ac.id>

4 December 2019 at 18:50

To: Miss Emi Kurnia Sari <kurniasariemi@gmail.com>

Cc: Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>, Dyah Silviana Sari <dyah.silviana2015@student.uny.ac.id>, Haris Suhendar <haris.suhendar@gmail.com>, Iman Santoso <iman.santoso@ugm.ac.id>

=====
Email ini khusus untuk broadcast, dimohon tidak membalas email ini.
This is a broadcast email, do not reply to this email.
=====

Dear Miss Emi Kurnia Sari,

Mohon menambahkan hal-hal berikut pada naskah:

1. Perlu ditambahkan tujuan penelitian pada paragraf akhir di bagian pendahuluan.
2. Perlu ditambahkan satu paragraf berisi signifikansi/impact atau dampak temuan/hasil penelitian di bidang Fisika Material di bagian akhir hasil dan diskusi.

Mohon dapat mengirimkan revisi naskah paling lambat 10 Desember 2019.

Jika anda memiliki pertanyaan, silahkan menghubungi kami melalui email jurnal. Terima kasih telah mempertimbangkan jurnal kami sebagai wadah publikasi dari penelitian Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i.

Manuscript Quality Standard in JPFA:

<https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa/pages/view/panduanmenulis>

Petunjuk Melakukan Revisi (Tanpa Proses Telaah Ulang) di OJS:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFR29YbU9iLUZOa3M>

Statement of Manuscript Authenticity:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFQIVHa2NYWE1fc1E/view>

Statement of Open Access:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFOUY2clpU0IRLW8/view>

Copyright Permission Request Form:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFVxkSSXRPcW9wTFE>

Manuscript Template:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFVIJVNUc3dEZpbWs>

Author Response Form:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1BvylhLpdLdw6-3x74UiW7lpFLec7fF-l/view?usp=sharing>

Utama Alan Deta

(Scopus ID: 55872927700) Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Phone +62 899 3751 753

utamadeta@unesa.ac.id

Email ini DIBUAT SECARA OTOMATIS melalui Open Journal System. Dimohon agar TIDAK MEMBALAS ke email ini. Jika terdapat pertanyaan atau permasalahan, dimohon dapat mengirimkan email ke jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

This email MADE AUTOMATICALLY through the Open Journal System. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email. If you have any questions, please send an email to jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
Department of Physics
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia

Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id

Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>



5551-17464-3-ED.docx

859K



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

[JPFA] Editor Decision

2 messages

Utama Alan Deta <adminsiaakad@unesa.ac.id>

6 December 2019 at 17:29

To: Miss Emi Kurnia Sari <kurniasariemi@gmail.com>

Cc: Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>, Dyah Silviana Sari <dyah.silviana2015@student.uny.ac.id>, Haris Suhendar <haris.suhendar@gmail.com>, Iman Santoso <iman.santoso@ugm.ac.id>

=====
Email ini khusus untuk broadcast, dimohon tidak membalas email ini.
This is a broadcast email, do not reply to this email.
=====

Dear Miss Emi Kurnia Sari,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to JPFA entitled "Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from Kangkung Plant (*Ipomoea Aquatica*) with Deep Frying and Roasted Techniques".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

As the editorial team and the expert reviewers have assessed your submission and we concluded that it has a potential to be published. We do appreciate that your manuscript has some positive aspects regarding the reviewers' recommendation. The next process is editing and proofreading. You may request a formal Acceptance Letter via JPFA's email.

We require you to submit the revised version of your manuscript by having it proofread either by professional proofreader/translator or native speakers.

We offer you two alternatives for further revisions:

1. You may have your manuscript proofread by your own preferable proofreading services. In this case, please note that your revised manuscript should be accompanied by a letter of statement/declaration of proofreading services by the proofreader, either qualified personal proofreader (have a certificate in English proficiency) or organization as the proofreading service provider.
2. Employing recommended proofreading service by JPFA Editorial Board (recommended option). Our journal cooperates with an academic translation and proofreading agency which provides services regarding translation, proofreading, and also formatting. In this case, the author is required to pay additional the proofreading service fees ranging from IDR 60-140 per word, depending on the level of language acceptability of the manuscript. In case of very poor level of language acceptability, re-translating the manuscript might be deemed possible with service fee ranging from IDR 140-200 per word.

Please inform us immediately (by email to jpfa@unesa.ac.id) about your decision in the proofreading process whether to use your preferable proofreader or following JPFA suggestion.

The deadline for proofreading is: 1 week (Kamis, 12 Desember 2019).

Lastly, please fill and send us the softcopy and the hardcopy of "Statement of Manuscript Authenticity" and "Statement of Open Access" signed by all Authors (form attached) via email and post if you have not sent the file to us.

If you have any questions, please contact me via JPFA's email. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue to disseminate your work.

Kepada Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i Miss Emi Kurnia Sari,

Kami telah memiliki keputusan publikasi terkait dengan naskah Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i pada JPFA dengan judul "Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from Kangkung Plant (*Ipomoea Aquatica*) with Deep Frying and Roasted Techniques".

Keputusan publikasi: Artikel diterima tanpa revisi

Tim Editor dan Reviewer telah menelaah naskah Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i dan memutuskan bahwa naskah tersebut berpotensi untuk dipublikasikan di JPFA. Kami mengapresiasi naskah Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i yang memiliki beberapa aspek positif berdasarkan rekomendasi reviewer. Proses selanjutnya adalah editing dan proofreading. Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i dapat meminta Surat Keputusan Publikasi resmi melalui email JPFA.

Kami meminta Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i untuk mengirimkan revisi naskah yang telah dilakukan proofread oleh proofreader/translator profesional atau native speakers. Kami menawarkan kepada Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i dua pilihan untuk proses proofreading, yakni:

1. Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i dapat melakukan proses proofread mandiri dengan penyedia jasa proofreading yang Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i pilih. Pada opsi ini, revisi naskah yang telah dilakukan proofreading harus dilampirkan surat atau pernyataan bahwa naskah telah di-proofread oleh proofreader yang berkualifikasi (memiliki sertifikat penguasaan Bahasa Inggris) atau oleh organisasi penyedia jasa proofreading yang baik.
2. Lembaga penyedia jasa proofreading yang direkomendasikan oleh Editor JPFA (pilihan yang sangat direkomendasikan). Jurnal kami telah bekerjasama dengan agen proofreading dan penerjemah yang menyediakan layanan penerjemahan, proofreading, dan formatting. Pada opsi ini, Penulis diminta untuk membayar biaya jasa proofreading berkisar antara 60-140 rupiah per kata, bergantung dari level keterbacaan naskah. Jika level keterbacaan naskah sangat rendah, kami dapat meminta untuk melakukan terjemahan ulang naskah dengan biaya jasa penerjemahan berkisar antara 140-200 rupiah per kata.

Mohon segera menghubungi kami (melalui email ke jpfa@unesa.ac.id) tentang keputusan Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i pada proses proofreading (memilih proofreader sendiri atau mengikuti saran JPFA).

Deadline dari proofreading adalah: 1 minggu.

Mohon Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i mengisi dan mengirimkan kepada kami softcopy dan hardcopy dari "Statement of Manuscript Authenticity" dan "Statement of Open Access" yang ditandatangani oleh semua penulis (format terlampir) melalui email dan pos jika Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i belum mengirimkan berkas tersebut ketika mengirimkan naskah.

Jika anda memiliki pertanyaan, silahkan menghubungi kami melalui email jurnal. Terima kasih telah mempertimbangkan jurnal kami sebagai wadah publikasi dari penelitian Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i.

Manuscript Quality Standard in JPFA:

<https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa/pages/view/panduanmenulis>

Statement of Manuscript Authenticity:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFQIVHa2NYWE1fc1E/view>

Statement of Open Access:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFOUY2clpU0IRLW8/view>

Copyright Permission Request Form:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFVxkSSXRPcW9wTFE>

Manuscript Template:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0ByvxcLZ2RbmFVIJVNUc3dEZpbWs>

Author Pack: <https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa/pages/view/tutorial>

Utama Alan Deta

(Scopus ID: 55872927700) Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Phone +62 899 3751 753

utamadeta@unesa.ac.id

.

Email ini DIBUAT SECARA OTOMATIS melalui Open Journal System. Dimohon agar TIDAK MEMBALAS ke email ini. Jika terdapat pertanyaan atau permasalahan, dimohon dapat mengirimkan email ke jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

This email MADE AUTOMATICALLY through the Open Journal System. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email. If you have any questions, please send an email to jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
Department of Physics
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia
Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id
Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

emi kurniasari <kurniasariemi@gmail.com>

10 December 2019 at 16:06

To: Utama Alan Deta <adminsiakad@unesa.ac.id>, jpfa@unesa.ac.id, "- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc" <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Kepada Yth. Editorial JPFA

Dengan hormat,

menindaklanjuti email kami, kami mohon info status artikel kami berjudul "Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from Kangkung Plant (*Ipomoea Aquatica*) with Deep Frying and Roasted Techniques".

sebagaimana email kami sebelumnya, kami memilih opsi 2 yang mana kami serahkan proofreading artikel kami kepada JPFA. Adapun biaya proofreading akan kami bayar dan sekali lagi mohon mengirimkan informasi nomor rekening untuk transfer biaya proofreading tersebut.

Demikian email kami, atas tanggapannya kami mengucapkan terima kasih

Hormat kami,
Emi Kurnia Sari, S.Si
physics Education Department
Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
[Quoted text hidden]



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Revisi Manuskrip (Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru-UNY)

1 message

- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

27 December 2019 at 21:43

To: Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id>

Optical Properties Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from *Kangkung (Ipomoea aquatica)* with Deep Frying and Roasting Techniques

=====

Kepada Yth.:

Editor Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)

Dengan hormat,

Kami mengucapkan terimakasih atas kiriman *proofread* manuskrip kami (ID 5551).

Kami telah membaca manuskrip tersebut dan merevisi email Sdr Haris Suhendar menjadi haris.suhendar@mail.ugm.ac.id.

Telah kami *attach* pula manuscript yang telah kami revisi dalam email ini dengan nama file 5551 ok final (MS Word).

Demikian, atas perhatiannya kami mengucapkan terimakasih.

Hormat kami,

Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru, PhD

Jurdik Fisika, FMIPA, UNY



5551 ok final.docx

854K



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Manuscript + PACS code (Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru)

1 message

- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

29 December 2019 at 13:53

To: Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id>

Kepada Yth.:

Editorial Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA),

Terimakasih atas emailnya. Berikut kami lampirkan artikel yang sudah tertera PACS code-nya.

Best regards,

Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru, PhD



5551 ok final with PACS.docx

873K



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Hasil Proofreading ID 5551

4 messages

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id> 27 December 2019 at 16:22
 To: "- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc" <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>, fika.fauzi@uny.ac.id, dyah.silviyana2015@student.uny.ac.id, kurniasariemi@gmail.com, iman.santoso@ugm.ac.id, haris.suhendar@mail.ac.id

Ysh Bapak/Ibu Wipsar Sunu Brams D, Bapak/Ibu Fika Fuzi, Bapak/Ibu Dyah Silviani Sari, Bapak/Ibu Emi Kurnia Sari, Bapak/Ibu Iman Santoso, dan Bapak/Ibu Haris Surendar

Berikut hasil proofread artikel ID 5551 (terlampir). Mohon Bapak membaca kembali artikel hasil proofread. Kami tunggu hingga 29 Desember 2019. Jika tanggal 29 Desember 2019 kami tidak mendapat kabar dari Bapak/Ibu maka kami anggap Bapak/Ibu telah setuju dengan hasil proofread tersebut.
 Selain itu mohon dicek kembali untuk email haris.suhendar@mail.ac.id karena tidak dapat terkirim. Terimakasih.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
 Department of Physics
 Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
 Universitas Negeri Surabaya
 Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia
 Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id
 Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

 **5551 ok.docx**
851K

- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id> 27 December 2019 at 21:41
 To: Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id>

Optical Properties Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from *Kangkung (Ipomoea aquatica)* with Deep Frying and Roasting Techniques

Kepada Yth.:
 Editor Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)

Dengan hormat,

Kami mengucapkan terimakasih atas kiriman *proofread* manuskrip kami (ID 5551).

Kami telah membaca manuskrip tersebut dan merevisi email Sdr Haris Suhendar menjadi haris.suhendar@mail.uny.ac.id.

Telah kami *attach* pula manuscript yang telah kami revisi dalam email ini dengan nama file 5551 ok final (MS Word).

Demikian, atas perhatiannya kami mengucapkan terimakasih.

Hormat kami,
 Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru, PhD
 Jurdik Fisika, FMIPA, UNY
 [Quoted text hidden]

 **5551 ok final.docx**
854K

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id> 29 December 2019 at 10:40
 To: "- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc" <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

Ysh Bapak Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru, PhD

Mohon dapat menuliskan PACS Code (maksimal 5). PACS Code dapat dilihat pada file terlampir. Mohon dapat segera membalas email kami. Terima kasih.

PACS Code: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0ByvxcLZ2RbmFSXF0bW1rRGJITFk/view>

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
Department of Physics
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia
Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id
Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Untuk mendukung "Gerakan UNY Hijau", disarankan tidak mencetak email ini dan lampirannya.
(To support the "Green UNY movement", it is recommended not to print the contents of this email and its attachments)

Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
www.uny.ac.id

- **Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc** <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

29 December 2019 at 13:53

To: Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya FMIPA UNESA <jpfa@unesa.ac.id>

Kepada Yth.:
Editorial Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA),

Terimakasih atas emailnya. Berikut kami lampirkan artikel yang sudah tertera PACS code-nya.

Best regards,
Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru, PhD

[Quoted text hidden]



5551 ok final with PACS.docx
873K



- Sunu Brams Dwandaru, M.Sc <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>

[JPFA] Optical Properties Comparison of Carbon Nanodots Synthesized from Kangkung (Ipomoea aquatica) with Deep Frying and Roasting Techniques

1 message

Utama Alan Deta <adminsiakad@unesa.ac.id>

1 January 2020 at 10:37

To: Wipsar Sunu Brams Dwandaru <wipsarian@uny.ac.id>, Fika Fauzi <fika.fauzi@uny.ac.id>, Dyah Silviana Sari <dyah.silviana2015@student.uny.ac.id>, Emi Kurnia Sari <kurniasariemi@gmail.com>, Iman Santoso <iman.santoso@ugm.ac.id>, Haris Suhendar <haris.suhendar@mail.ugm.ac.id>

Ysh Bapak/Ibu Penulis JPFA

Bersama ini kami sampaikan bahwa naskah Bapak/Ibu telah terbit pada Article in Press (link terlampir). Kami mohon Bapak/Ibu dapat melakukan pengecekan akhir artikel (pdf) dan metadata di Website JPFA. Jika terdapat kesalahan dalam editing dan pengisian metadata, mohon Bapak/Ibu segera mengirimkan email pemberitahuan ke kami (jpfa@unesa.ac.id). Perhatikan bahwa setelah naskah resmi terbit, naskah tidak dapat diubah dengan alasan apapun kecuali melalui mekanisme yang sesuai dengan kaidah perbaikan naskah yang benar.

Kami mohon pula Bapak/Ibu penulis untuk pembayaran melakukan biaya editing dan proofreading (invoice terlampir). Adapun batas waktu pembayaran biaya-biaya tersebut paling lambat 6 Januari 2020.

Kami atas nama Tim Editor JPFA menyampaikan terima kasih atas kepercayaan Bapak/Ibu Penulis untuk mempublikasikan hasil penelitian pada jurnal kami. Kami tunggu kontribusi Bapak/Ibu pada edisi berikutnya. Terima kasih dan Selamat Tahun Baru 2020.


Article in Press: <https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa/issue/view/513>


Email ini DIBUAT SECARA OTOMATIS melalui Open Journal System. Dimohon agar TIDAK MEMBALAS ke email ini. Jika terdapat pertanyaan atau permasalahan, dimohon dapat mengirimkan email ke jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

This email MADE AUTOMATICALLY through the Open Journal System. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email. If you have any questions, please send an email to jpfa@unesa.ac.id.

Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)
Department of Physics
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Jl Ketintang, Gd. C3 Lt. 1, Surabaya 60231, Indonesia
Email: jpfa@unesa.ac.id
Website: <http://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/jpfa>

2 attachments

 **5551 1.pdf**
165K

 **5551-19374-1-CE.pdf**
300K

Kepada Yth.:

Editorial Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)

Dengan hormat,

Kami menyampaikan terima kasih atas koreksi, saran, dan masukan yang diberikan untuk manuscript kami. Artikel telah kami revisi dan berikut respon dari masukan-masukan yang diberikan.

1. Comment 1:

Pendahuluan terdiri atas state of the art, gap analysis, dan tujuan penelitian. Belum nampak adanya gap analysis dan tujuan penelitian yang tersirat dalam naskah sehingga dampak dari penelitian kurang.

Respon:

Gap analysis dan tujuan penelitian telah kami tambahkan dalam artikel ini. Hal ini kami tunjukkan sebagai berikut:

Gap Analysis

“At the moment, Cdots based on enviromentally friendly technology is still being developed especially in Indonesia. Indonesia has diverse organic manterials of plants and animals that are potential to be the carbon sources of Cdots. In previous studies, carbon sources that are used for synthesizing Cdots include soy milk [11], candle soot [12], tobacco leaf [13], orange peel [14], banana juice [15] and others. Although there has been many carbon sources to produce Cdots as mentioned above there is still a need to synthesize Cdots from other carbon sources to enrich the possibility of producing Cdots. Hence, in this study, kangkung plants are used as a carbon source of Cdots. Kangkung have roots, stems, fruits, flowers, and seeds. Kangkung plants are known as green vegetables because they have a chlorophyll structure [16]. In addition, kangkung plants are easy to obtain and their use in the field of technology can still be explored. Futhermore,kangkung plants have never been used as a carbon source for Cdots material.

Innovation in synthesis of Cdots is expected to produce Cdots materials that are superior, efficient, and have satisfying properties [17]. This innovation may come from the method in producing Cdots. The difference of the synthesis methods can produce different amount of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, and other functional properties of the Cdots. In addition, it causes differences in carbogenic core and surface structures of the Cdots [18].

To date, the methods that are used in the synthesis of Cdots are hydrothermal and microwave [19]. These methods can produce different characteristics of the Cdots. Therefore, it is interesting to study new methods in the synthesis of the Cdots using frying [20] and roasting. These methods are simple, effective, inexpensive, can produce Cdots on a large scale, do not require sophisticated equipment, and do not require hazardous chemicals.”

Tujuan

“The objectives of this study is to give information about preparing, synthesizing and characterizing Cdots from kangkung plants via frying and roasting techniques. The Cdots are characterized using UV-Vis spectrophotometer, photoluminescence (PL), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) to compare the optical properties of the Cdots via frying and roasting technique.”

2. Comment 2:

Belum nampak adanya teknik analisis data pada Metode Penelitian.

Respon:

Dalam metode penelitian telah kami tambahkan karakterisasi dari sampel sebagai teknik analisis data.

3. Comment 3:

Setiap gambar/grafik/tabel/diagram pada naskah harus dibahas secara komprehensif.

Respon:

Setiap gambar dalam naskah telah kami bahas secara rinci.

4. Comment 4:

Pembahasan dalam naskah kurang komprehensif. Hasil penelitian seharusnya dibandingkan dengan penelitian-penelitian sebelumnya yang relevan dan dibahas secara mendalam. Lebih lanjut, perlu dijelaskan implikasi dari penelitian ini di bidang Fisika pada bagian akhir dari pembahasan.

Respon:

Setiap hasil penelitian telah dibandingkan dengan penelitian-penelitian sebelumnya yang relevan dan telah dibahas secara rinci. Telah dijelaskan implikasi dari penelitian ini di bidang fisika.

5. Comment 5:

Kesimpulan sebaiknya singkat, jelas, dan menggambarkan hasil penelitian secara umum.

Respon:

Keimpulan telah kami buat menggambarkan seluruh isi penelitian dan dituliskan secara singkat dan jelas.

6. Comment 6:

Daftar pustaka minimal 25. Perlu ditambah pustaka terbaru (5 tahun terakhir) dari Jurnal Nasional Terakreditasi (minimal Sinta 3) dan Jurnal Internasional Berreputasi (terindeks Scopus atau WoS).

Respon:

Daftar pustaka telah ditambahkan dengan memasukkan jurnal terbaru (5 tahun terakhir) dari Jurnal Nasional Terakreditasi (minimal Sinta 3) dan Jurnal Internasional Berreputasi (terindeks Scopus atau WoS).

Terimakasih atas perhatiannya. Semoga manuscript kami dapat diproses lebih lanjut.

Hormat kami,

